

## PHYS 813: Statistical Mechanics, Assignment 9

Due 5/19/09

1. Consider an ideal quantum gas of  $N$  electrons in a cube of volume  $V$  at the temperature  $T = 0$ .
  - (a) Using the energy expression for a particle in the box, find to the leading order the number of states per energy interval.
  - (b) Calculate the total average energy  $\bar{E}$  in terms of the Fermi energy  $\epsilon_F$  (the energy of the highest occupied state). Then find the relation between  $N$  and  $\epsilon_F$  and eliminate  $\epsilon_F$  from the expression for  $\bar{E}$ .
  - (c) Show that  $\bar{E}$  is an extensive quantity as a function of  $V$  and  $N$  (i.e., upon the increase of system size). Thus,  $\bar{E}$  is not proportional to  $N$  for a fixed volume, as one would expect for noninteracting particles. Explain the apparent paradox.
  
2. A white-dwarf star is thought to consist of helium nuclei which can be described classically and a degenerate electron gas. The gas can be considered degenerate despite the temperature of the star being about  $10^7$  K since the Fermi energy is much larger than  $kT$  due to high density.
  - (a) Because of the high densities, the relativistic effects should be important. Assuming that the density of a white-dwarf star is  $10^7$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>, find how the (nonrelativistic) Fermi energy compares to the electron rest energy.
  - (b) Calculate the pressure of the degenerate electron gas under these conditions, neglecting relativistic effects.
  
3. Use the free-electron model of the electrons in metals to calculate the isothermal compressibility  $\kappa = -(1/V)(\partial V/\partial p)_{T,N}$  of a metal. First, do not assume low temperature and obtain an expression for  $\kappa$  in terms of the Fermi functions  $f_n$  defined below. Then show that for  $T \rightarrow 0$  this expression simplifies to the one derived in a previous problem and depending on the Fermi energy  $\epsilon_F$ . You may use without proof the relations:

$$\frac{pV}{kT} = \ln \mathcal{Z}(V, T, \mu) = \frac{2V}{\lambda^3} f_{5/2}(z)$$

where  $\lambda = h/\sqrt{2\pi mkT}$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}(V, T, \mu) = \prod (1 + e^{-(\epsilon_i - \mu)/kT})$  is the grand canonical partition function expressed through single-particle energies  $\epsilon_i$ , and

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{n-1} dx}{z^{-1}e^x + 1} \xrightarrow{z \gg 1} \frac{(\ln z)^n}{n!},$$

with  $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)! = (n-1)(n-2) \cdots \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi}$  for half integer arguments and  $z = e^{\mu/kT}$ ;

$$N = \frac{2V}{\lambda^3} f_{3/2}(z);$$

$$\frac{df_n(z)}{dz} = \frac{1}{z} f_{n-1}(z).$$

4. The density of (single-particle) states  $g(\epsilon)$  is a different function of energy  $\epsilon$  for different ideal Fermi gases (e.g., two-dimensional, three-dimensional, relativistic, etc.). However, at low temperature  $T$ , some properties of the systems depend on  $g(\epsilon)$  in the same way. Show that, in particular, the chemical potential  $\mu$  and heat capacity  $C_V$  are given by

$$\mu \approx \epsilon_F \left[ 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \left( \frac{\partial \ln g(\epsilon)}{\partial \ln \epsilon} \right)_{\epsilon=\epsilon_F} \left( \frac{kT}{\epsilon_F} \right)^2 \right]$$

and

$$C_V \approx \frac{\pi^2}{3} k^2 T g(\epsilon_F)$$

where  $\epsilon_F$  is the Fermi energy. *Hint*: use the following asymptotic expansion of the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\phi(x) dx}{e^{x-\xi} + 1} = \int_0^\xi \phi(x) dx + \frac{\pi^2}{6} \left( \frac{d\phi}{dx} \right)_{x=\xi} + \dots$$

valid for any well-behaving  $\phi(x)$ .

5. Consider the virial expansion

$$\frac{pv}{kT} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j\beta_j}{j+1} \left( \frac{\lambda^3}{v} \right)^j$$

where  $v$  is the molar volume,  $\beta_j$  are functions of  $T$  called irreducible cluster integrals, and  $\lambda$  is the thermal length. Assume that only the terms with  $j$  equal to 1 and 2 are appreciable in the critical region. Determine the relationship between  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  at the critical point. Then find the ratio  $kT_c/p_c v_c$ , where the subscript  $c$  denotes the values at the critical point.

6. For a superconductor in a weak external magnetic field, the difference in the Gibbs free energy between the normal ( $G_n$ ) and the superconducting ( $G_s$ ) state can be expressed as  $G_n - G_s = \mu_0 H_c(T)^2/2$  where  $\mu_0$  is the permeability of vacuum and  $H_c(T)$  is the minimal magnetic field required to destroy superconductivity  $H_c(T) = H_0 [1 - (T/\Upsilon)^2]$ . The symbol  $\Upsilon$  in the last expression denotes a constant and  $H_0$  is clearly just the limit value of  $H_c$  at  $T = 0$ .

- (a) Find the entropy difference and the difference in specific heat between the normal and superconducting state.
- (b) Calculate the superconducting to normal transition temperature at zero field.
- (c) Is this a first-order (discontinuous entropy) or second-order (continuous entropy but discontinuous entropy derivative) phase transition?

*Hint*: This is a simple problem.

7. The detailed balance condition  $\pi_{rs}/\pi_{sr} = e^{-\beta(E_s - E_r)}$  in Monte Carlo simulations, where  $\pi$  is the stochastic matrix and  $E$  is the energy, can be fulfilled in many ways. Also, one traditionally expresses the stochastic matrix as  $\pi_{rs} = P_{rs} a_{rs}$ , where  $P_{rs}$  is the probability that the system makes the *trial* move from configuration  $r$  to  $s$  and  $a_{rs}$  is the probability that this move is accepted.

- (a) In most simulation, one of the symmetric acceptance probabilities is assumed to be equal to one. For an arbitrary  $P_{rs}$ , specify the form of  $a_{rs}$  that maintains the detailed balance when  $a_{sr} = 1$ .
- (b) Consider a system with energy levels  $E_r = \hbar\omega(r + 1/2)$ ,  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Assume the system can change its energy only by  $\hbar\omega$ , so that all probabilities  $P_{rs}$  depend on a single parameter, for example,

$$P_{rs} = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } s = r + 1 \\ 1 - p & \text{if } s = r - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Find the value of  $p$  that minimizes the probability that if the system is in state  $r$ , it will make *no* transition. Contrast this result with that of the Metropolis algorithm.